



Attorney Docket No. 777.395US1

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

## United States Patent Application COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: KEY DISTRIBUTION.

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PC international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.



Page 2 of 4

Attorney Docket No.: 777.395US1

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

Signature:

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith: Reg. No. 28,650

			D No. 42 673	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Huebsch, Joseph C.	Reg. No. 42,673	Nielsen, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813		Reg. No. 42,047
Billion, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Oh, Allen J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Billon, Richard L.	Reg. No. 42,331	Kaufmann, John D.	Reg. No. 24,017	Padys, Danny J.	Reg. No. 33,024
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 35,832	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 42,989
Brennan, Leoniede M.	Reg. No. 35,075	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 33,995
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 40,925	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 32,628
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 41,676	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Sako, Katie E.	Reg. No. 39,422
Chu, Dinh C.P.	Dec No. 39 107	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 25,816
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Schwegman, Micheal L.	Reg. No. 25,810
Crouse, Daniel D.	Reg. No. 32,022	Mack, Lisa K.	Reg. No. 42,825	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Maeyaert, Paul L.	Reg. No. 40,076	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Fordenbacher, Paul J.	Reg. No. 42,546	Maicu, I cici L.	Reg. No. 35,271	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Forrest, Bradley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 42,858	Viksnins, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Garnon, Owen J.	Reg. No. 36,143	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 44,255	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Nama, Kash	Reg. 140. 44,233	,	
Ţ IJ		to Schwegman, Lundberg P.O. Box 2938, Minn Telephone No. (	612)373-6900		
I hereby dec	be true; and further tha	made herein of my own known to these statements were mant, or both, under Section 10	001 of Title 18 of the	I that all statements made of ge that willful false statem e United States Code and the	ents and the like so nat such willful false
statements may jeopa	rdize the validity of the	e application of any patents.	issued thereon.	• .	
Full Name of joint in Citizenship:	United St	Christopher E. Mitchell tates of America	Residence: Redi	nond, WA	
Post-Office Address:	516 240ti	Avenue SE			
	Redmond	I, WA 98053		11.	
<u> </u>	04-1-1			6/9/00	
g: t	hvistablet. VV		Date:		
Signature:	Christopher E. N	fitchell			
	Christopher E. N	Monon			
Full Name of joint in	wenter number 2 ·	Jeff C. Kunins			
	Tinited S	tates of America	Residence: San	Francisco, CA	
Citizenship:					
Post Office Address	23 Rosco	)			
	San Fran	cisco, CA 94110			
	San Fran	cisco, CA 94110	_		
Cionatura:	San Fran	cisco, CA 94110	Date:		

X Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Jeff C. Kunins





Attorney Docket No.: 777.395US1

06/09/00 16:37 FAX 612 349 9596

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned Page 3 of 4

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint invent Citizenship: Post Office Address:	or number 3: Max E. Metral	Residence:	. `
Signature:	Max E. Metral	Date:	
Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	·
Signature:		Date:	
Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	
Sighture:		Date:	
Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	
Signature:	, in the second	Date:	





Attorney Docket No.: 777.395US1 Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

。 二

## § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by \$\frac{8}{5}\$ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defending defines, to make sure that any material information contained defines defined defines.

  (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made-of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A mima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the A mima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the preponderance of evidence any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.